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Recommended practices for the management of surgical smoke and bio-aerosols for perioperative nurses in Thailand

Abstract
Evidence-based guidelines for the management of surgical smoke and bio-aerosols for perioperative nurses are necessary to improve the quality of care for patients and to ensure a safe environment in operating rooms. A survey of 377 operating room (OR) nurses throughout Thailand was used to assess the incidence of health problems related to surgical smoke exposure, as well as the current practices for these substances. A high percentage of OR nurses reported little or no use of smoke evacuation tools such as central smoke evacuation systems (100 per cent), portable smoke evacuation units (82 per cent), wall suction with inline filters (56.5 per cent) or laparoscopic evacuation/filteration systems (63.7 per cent) during surgery. Most of the perioperative nurses suffered from headaches and/or sore throats. Due to the wide range of deleterious health issues that arise from exposure to surgical smoke, it is critical that perioperative nurses closely adhere to best practice guidelines for minimising this environmental hazard.
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Introduction
The National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have studied electrosurgical smoke at length. Smoke and bio-aerosols are routinely produced by surgical instruments including lasers, electrosurgical units, radiofrequency devices, ultrasonic devices and power tools. Plume and bio-aerosols contain odour-causing and odourless toxic gases and vapors such as benzene, hydrogen cyanide and formaldehyde, as well as dead and live cellular debris (including blood fragments), bacteria and viruses. The risk of inhaling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols has been linked to headaches, respiratory problems, eye and skin irritation, infection and mutagenic and carcinogenic potential in patients, perioperative nurses, anesthesiologists and other operating room personnel.

The hazards of surgical smoke and bio-aerosols have been an environmental exposure concern for many years. The risks from inhalation and the resultant health disorders, however, have not led to mandatory regulations to prevent exposure in the clinical workplace or operating room. Perioperative nurses and other OR personnel have long suffered from inhalation of surgical smoke or plume. Unprotected workers remain at risk of irritation to the upper respiratory tract and eyes, as well as additional reactions from these chemical agents. Previous studies indicated that common complaints associated with exposure to surgical smoke include headaches, watering eyes, cough, burning throat, nausea, drowsiness, dizziness, sneezing and rhinitis. In addition, previous studies have implicated surgical smoke in...
The use of personal protective equipment (PPE) in Thailand could significantly improve the quality of the practice and safety in health care facilities.

Study purpose
The main objective of this study was to survey perioperative nurses in each region of Thailand in order to assess the use of recommended practices to prevent exposure to surgical smoke and bio-aerosols. This included the use of evacuation systems and units for preventing surgical smoke and bio-aerosols, the use of personal protective equipment, and the study of the incidence of health problems among OR nurses related to surgical smoke and bio-aerosols. The study and survey were based on evidence-based practice discussed in research studies and AORN position statements on the containments in surgical smoke and bio-aerosols.

Research questions
The specific research questions were:
1. What percentage of perioperative nurses adhere to AORN’s recommended practice guidelines for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols in operating rooms?
2. What percentage of perioperative nurses’ experience health problems related to smoke and bio-aerosols exposure?

Literature review
Surgical smoke hazards
A review of the research indicated that surgical smoke and bio-aerosols are harmful to surgical patients as well as the surgical team (surgeons, nurses and anesthesiologists). This harm results from exposure to surgical plume or carbon dioxide levels that exceed recommendations for general surgery or laparoscopic surgery with electrocautery. Surgical smoke or plume includes chemicals such as benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene and methyl propane and, of greatest concern, carbon monoxide (CO) and acrylonitrile. In addition, and also of significant concern, this smoke may contain hydrogen cyanide, formaldehyde, benzene and virus particles. Many research studies and NIOSH have expressed concern related to the impact of surgical smoke on the perioperative care team’s health, especially in relation to respiratory problems.

Guidelines for surgical smoke prevention
The amount of harmful particles from surgical smoke and types of surgery were considered in the present study in order to recommend appropriate equipment or evacuation methods. These guidelines regarding harmful exposure to surgical smoke can be readily found in the AORN Position Statement and from the NIOSH, the Australian College of Perioperative Nurses (ACORN) and the International Federation of Perioperative Nurses (IFPN). Previous studies have indicated that many surgical team members, especially perioperative nurses, have related discomfort in using such methods or have denied the necessity of their use. Although many organisations such as AORN, NIOSH, ACORN and...
Health problems associated with surgical smoke

A number of studies have revealed specific surgical hazards associated with the perioperative health care team’s exposure to surgical smoke, and some of the symptoms associated with this exposure are listed below:

- eye irritation
- headache
- nausea
- acute or chronic inflammatory respiratory changes
- asthma
- chronic bronchitis
- light-headedness
- nasopharyngeal lesions
- throat irritation
- weakness and fatigue.

The surgical care team should recognise the hazards of surgical smoke and should play an active role in protecting themselves and their patients from these hazards.

Methods

The study is of a cross-sectional survey design focusing on perioperative nurses in Thailand in order to evaluate the use of recommended practices for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols in operating rooms.

Sample

Recruitment criteria consisted of the following: perioperative nurses who had two or more years of experience working in the OR, were trained as perioperative nurse specialists or had attended an OR nurse management conference. These groups were identified as having the required knowledge of and training in the recommended practices for smoke and bio-aerosols prevention and the equipment used for protection.

Sample size

A sample of 366 OR nurses was needed in order to confirm a 95 per cent confidence level for this population size (7500 OR nurses) using a margin of error of 5 per cent and a response distribution of 50 per cent; however, 450 questionnaires were distributed to ensure an adequate return rate. A sample size calculator was used to make these decisions.

Instruments

In this study, all respondents were asked to complete the ‘Recommended practice tool for surgical smoke and bio-aerosols prevention’. The perioperative nurses also completed a survey in order to ascertain any health problems related to surgical smoke and bio-aerosols that they had.

Recommended practice tool for surgical smoke and bio-aerosols prevention among perioperative nurses

For the purpose of this study, a tool was developed by the investigator based on a comprehensive review of the literature which was conducted in order to identify the known health problems associated with exposure to surgical smoke and bio-aerosols. The most prevalent problems identified were eye irritation, headaches, nausea/dizziness, coughing/sneezing, asthma, chronic bronchitis, sore throat and weakness. The participants were asked to indicate if these health problems were present and the severity of any symptoms associated with the health problems. The respondents scored the severity of their health problems on a scale from one to seven and scored their health problems related to smoke and bio-aerosols on a scale from one to seven regarding eight health risk problems. Possible range of total scores is eight to 56 where 56
indicated the highest risk. Higher scores indicated higher presence and severity of symptoms.

After the questionnaire was developed, three experts, including a chest medicine doctor, a thoracic surgeon and a perioperative specialist nurse, validated the content. The content validity index (CVI) for this instrument was 1. A pilot study of 30 participants from an OR management conference was then conducted in order to assess its reliability. Cronbach’s alpha level was 0.8.

Data collection procedures

The study was conducted after approval from the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Nursing, Mahidol University. The respondents were given a participant information sheet that informed them of their right to withdraw from the study at any time, and they were assured that their identities and information would be kept confidential. After recruitment, each subject signed a consent form, completed a demographic form, and provided information regarding any allergies they had and their relevant medical history. The two questionnaires were sent to the participants, who worked in secondary or tertiary hospitals in Thailand, and they were asked to complete the questionnaires and return them to the researchers by mail or email. The average time needed to complete the survey was approximately 30 minutes.

Data analysis

Demographic data such as age, education, amount of OR experience and knowledge of the hazard of surgical smoke and bio-aerosols before the survey were presented in terms of frequency, mean and standard deviation. A recommended practice data survey and information about health problems related to smoke and bio-aerosols exposure were also presented in terms of frequency, mean and standard deviation. Data was managed and analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Science Version 17 (SPSS) software program on a personal computer.

Results

Demographic data

Of the 450 questionnaires distributed, 377 were completed for a response rate of 84 per cent. The respondents recruited for this study consisted of OR nurses from the following regions in Thailand: the northern (19 per cent), central (26 per cent), southern (9 per cent), northeastern (16 per cent) and eastern (5 per cent) regions, while OR nurses from private hospitals represented 11 per cent. The data indicated that the participants worked at secondary or tertiary hospitals in every major region of Thailand. Table 1 summarises the demographic data and the nurses’ knowledge about the hazards of surgical smoke and bio-aerosols before the survey. The mean age of the perioperative nurses responding to this study was 43 years (range 23 to 61 years). Of the respondents, 88.1 per cent had a bachelor’s degree and 10.6 per cent had a master’s degree. The average work experience in the OR was 19 years (range 2 to 36 years). They usually worked at least 8 hours per day and needed to be in the surgical field at least 7 hours per day. Most respondents (92 per cent) were aware of the hazards of surgical smoke from sources such as the media (28.8 per cent), department heads/operating room nurses (141 per cent), colleagues (34 per cent), or other sources (231 per cent).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Characteristics of respondents (N = 377)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of OR experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge about hazard of surgical smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and bio-aerosols before survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of knowledge about surgical smoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department head / operating room nurses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colleagues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommended practice for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols in operating rooms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended practice for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols in operating rooms</th>
<th>Always n(%)</th>
<th>Often n(%)</th>
<th>Sometimes n(%)</th>
<th>Rarely n(%)</th>
<th>Never n(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Use equipment, with 0.1 μm filtration at 99.999% efficiency, such as:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>377 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central smoke evacuation systems</td>
<td>34 (9.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34 (9.0)</td>
<td>69 (18.3)</td>
<td>240 (63.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable smoke evacuation units</td>
<td>49 (13.0)</td>
<td>33 (8.7)</td>
<td>82 (21.8)</td>
<td>49 (13.0)</td>
<td>164 (43.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall suction with inline filter</td>
<td>103 (27.3)</td>
<td>34 (9.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34 (9.0)</td>
<td>206 (54.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic evacuation/filtration systems</td>
<td>148 (39.3)</td>
<td>115 (30.5)</td>
<td>49 (13.0)</td>
<td>49 (13.0)</td>
<td>16 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall suction without inline filter for evacuation during surgery (not recommended practice)</td>
<td>95 (25.2)</td>
<td>63 (16.7)</td>
<td>29 (7.7)</td>
<td>95 (25.2)</td>
<td>95 (25.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Use personal protective equipment</td>
<td>110 (29.2)</td>
<td>95 (25.2)</td>
<td>110 (29.2)</td>
<td>47 (12.4)</td>
<td>15 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High filtration surgical masks worn properly</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
<td>76 (20.1)</td>
<td>121 (32.1)</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective eye wear</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>106 (28.1)</td>
<td>106 (28.1)</td>
<td>30 (8.0)</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Receive training in protecting from surgical smoke and bio-aerosols</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
<td>121 (32.1)</td>
<td>166 (44.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The equipment to protect from smoke and bioaerosols was demonstrated to the health professional</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
<td>76 (20.1)</td>
<td>121 (32.1)</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Comply with the guideline for protecting from smoke and bio-aerosols</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>106 (28.1)</td>
<td>106 (28.1)</td>
<td>30 (8.0)</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Documentation and regular training related to smoke and bio-aerosols</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90 (23.9)</td>
<td>121 (32.1)</td>
<td>166 (44.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Level of adherence to recommended practice for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols among perioperative nurses (N = 377)

Recommended practice for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols among perioperative nurses. The data showed that the most commonly used equipment to control surgical smoke in the OR was wall suction without an inline filter and that this was generally used only during surgery. The survey responses indicated that this system was used ‘always’ or ‘often’ by 69.8 per cent (263) of respondents. This system however is not considered sufficient for smoke evacuation according to established guidelines. Thirty-six percent of the perioperative nurses used laparoscopic evacuation/filtration systems ‘always’ or ‘often,’ while 21.7 per cent (82) reported using wall suction with an inline filter, and nine per cent (34) reported the use of portable smoke evacuation units. None of the nurses reported that his or her OR used central
smoke evacuation systems. Portable smoke evacuation units were used ‘sometimes,’ ‘rarely,’ or ‘never’ by nine per cent (34), 18.3 per cent (69) and 63.7 per cent (240) of respondents respectively, while laparoscopic evacuation/filtration systems were used ‘rarely’ or ‘never’ by nine per cent (34) and 54.7 per cent (206) of respondents respectively.

Use of personal protective equipment

Table 2 shows that most of the perioperative nurses adhered to guidelines involving the use of personal protective equipment. They reported using protective eyewear ‘always’ or ‘often’ (54.4 per cent, 205). Only 41.9 per cent (158) of the perioperative nurses indicated that they ‘always’ or ‘often’ used high filtration surgical masks.

Receive training in protecting from surgical smoke and bio-aerosols

As shown in Table 2, 67.6 per cent (255) of the perioperative nurses had received training in protection from smoke and bio-aerosols ‘sometimes,’ ‘rarely,’ or ‘never,’ while 76.1 per cent (287) of the nurses noted that demonstrations of equipment to control exposure to surgical smoke and bio-aerosols occurred ‘sometimes’ ‘rarely’ or ‘never.’ All of the perioperative nurses (100 per cent, 377) indicated that they ‘sometimes,’ ‘rarely,’ or ‘never’ received regular documentation or regular training related to smoke and bio-aerosols. In addition, 60 per cent (226) indicated that they ‘sometimes,’ ‘rarely,’ or ‘never’ used perioperative standards and recommended practices for controlling surgical smoke in the operating room. Only 40 per cent (151) of the perioperative nurses indicated that they ‘always’ or ‘often’ complied with the perioperative standards and recommended practice.

Health problems associated with surgical smoke

The presence of symptoms was high, as displayed in Table 3. The participants identified health problems associated with surgical smoke and bio-aerosols exposure, including headaches, sore throat, coughing/sneezing, weakness, eye irritation, nausea/dizziness, chronic bronchitis and asthma. Headache and sore throat were the most commonly reported, but coughing/sneezing had the highest level of symptom severity. Overall, the levels of symptom severity were low.

Discussion

This study examined Thai perioperative nurses’ adherence to recommended practices for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols, as well as the prevalence and severity of associated health problems. Adherence levels were low, which is consistent with the finding of many research studies.

Recommended practice for controlling surgical smoke

AORN and NIOSH have recommended that surgical smoke be evacuated by smoke evacuators in order to protect health personnel and patients from surgical smoke hazards. Since 1996 many societies such as NIOSH, AORN, IFPN, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) have raised awareness of the hazards of surgical smoke. However, nurses, surgeons, and other health professionals working in operating rooms in Thailand still experience surgical smoke-related problems. Change is complicated as professional organisations do not have the authority to regulate the control of surgical smoke. Additionally, nurses may have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health problem</th>
<th>Perioperative nurses who had health problem* n (%)</th>
<th>Severity score** Mean (Standard Deviation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>headache</td>
<td>298 (79)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sore throat</td>
<td>279 (74)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coughing/sneezing</td>
<td>278 (73)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weakness</td>
<td>274 (72)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eye irritation</td>
<td>264 (70)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nausea/dizziness</td>
<td>238 (63)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>196 (51)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asthma</td>
<td>79 (20)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The respondents could answer more than one health problem associated with surgical smoke.
** Severity scores range from 1 to 7.
become accustomed to the smell of cautery or the scalpel dissection of human tissue during surgery. Further, most organisations in which perioperative nurses work do not follow the recommendations for controlling surgical smoke and smoke evacuation. The results also indicated that did not use smoke evacuators of human tissue during surgery. 

The patient’s safety comes first, but health care workers also need protection from workplace hazards. Thus a safe working environment and appropriate equipment should be provided adequately in Thailand. AORN has strongly recommended OR equipment, most of the perioperative nurses indicated that they used eye protection for their eyes; the exception was the use of high filtration surgical masks. The purpose of the surgical mask is to protect the surgical team from surgical smoke and to protect patients from droplet infection from the surgical team. AORN has strongly recommended that perioperative personnel wear the proper personal protective equipment, such as eye protection and masks, and use an efficient smoke evacuation system. In this study, surgical masks were reported as being used regularly but the size of the particles found ranged from 0.05 µm to larger than 25 µm for all procedures. Standard surgical masks filter particles 5 µm or larger, and this is not adequate for protecting health professionals. High filtration surgical masks need to be used because they can block smoke particles 0.1 µm in size, or smaller than 0.1 µm for viral particles. AORN’s recommendations include the use of high-filtration surgical masks when there is surgical smoke or plume. High filtration surgical masks should not be the first line of protection for surgical smoke. Institution and perioperative personnel should follow the recommended practices for electrosurgery and AORN’s position statement regarding surgical smoke and bio-aerosols. Smoke should be evacuated with a smoke evacuation system and filter during surgery and personal protective equipment such as high filtration surgical masks and eyewear should be used. Most of the perioperative nurses had not received sufficient training, demonstrations, or documentation that complied with the recommended practice regarding surgical smoke and bio-aerosols. Although most (92 per cent) knew about the hazards of surgical smoke, they did not receive additional knowledge or training regarding work-related surgical smoke. Steege et al. reported that ‘there is a lack of training that could facilitate procedures for preventing surgical smoke.’ In addition, Ball stated that the nurses who had more knowledge and adequate training regarding surgical smoke evacuation showed greater compliance with recommendations. Health care facilities that employ OR staff should purchase appropriate equipment and provide staff development to help implement AORN’s position statement on surgical smoke and bio-aerosols.

The new technology and equipment used to control surgical smoke also requires OR staff to receive adequate training so that they can effectively operate the equipment. In addition to training, demonstrating the use and effectiveness of surgical smoke containment is also an appropriate way to ensure compliance with the recommended practices.
Health problems associated with surgical smoke

Most of the Thai perioperative nurses reported various health problems associated with exposure to surgical smoke. Compared to the prevalence of health problems associated with surgical smoke in Ball’s study in the United States, the prevalence for health problems among the Thai perioperative nurses in this study was higher for each condition. A comparison of the number of respiratory problems reported by nurses in our study versus the USA included increased coughing (USA 24.74 per cent, Thailand 73.7 per cent), asthma (10.8 per cent, 20.9 per cent) and bronchitis (9.04 per cent, 51.9 per cent). However, Thai perioperative nurses rated the severity of these symptoms at a low level (1.78 – 3.11, Table 3). The results from this study indicate that health professionals such as perioperative nurses who are exposed to surgical smoke are at risk of respiratory illness.

Several studies have supported the incidence of respiratory problems among OR nurses, as well as other health problems from exposure to surgical smoke. Plastic surgeons at Bryn Mawr Hospital in Pennsylvania reported that several OR personnel experienced upper respiratory and eye irritation, and headache and nausea during breast reduction procedures. All of the residents in the surgical specialties in Jalisco, Mexico, reported respiratory symptoms, such as sore throat (22 per cent) and the sensation of a lump in the throat (22 per cent). The surgical smoke samples were analysed and found to contain compounds of hydrogen cyanide, acetylene and butadiene, including volatile organic compounds. The perioperative nurses who were chronically exposed to surgical smoke had essentially the same risks as passive cigarette smoking victims. In one animal study, the lung pathology of rats exposed to an operative-like smoke plume showed hypertrophy of the blood vessels, alveolar congestion and emphysematous changes. The extract of surgical smoke found chemicals including benzene, formaldehyde and acrolein, which may have been the cause of this pulmonary change. Many studies have confirmed that surgical smoke or plume can be the cause of eye irritation, acute or chronic respiratory infection and coughing on the part of perioperative personnel. NIOSH, OSHA, AORN, ACORN and The Joint Commission (TJC) have also recommended surgical devices such as plume evacuation systems to effectively control surgical smoke.

In this study, the nurses reported that equipment such as portable smoke evacuation units, a laparoscopic evacuation/filtration system and wall suction with inline filter were seldom or never used. This indicates that the perioperative nurses had a high likelihood of inhaling surgical smoke every day of work, leading to the development of adverse respiratory conditions. Choi et al. reported that the risk from chemicals such as benzene in surgical smoke needs to be controlled and recommended that operating room personnel protect themselves from the long-term health risks related to their exposure to surgical smoke.

Study limitations

This study surveyed Thai perioperative nurses regarding their adherence to recommended practice for controlling surgical smoke in operating rooms. We did not separate the study into types of surgery for clarifying which may or may not have produced a high level of surgical smoke. Future researchers should gather more information about each type of smoke evacuation system as well as which are good at handling smoke production during each surgical procedure.

Conclusion

This study clearly identified the hazards of surgical smoke with regard to the perioperative nurse’s health. Programs that offer appropriate teaching and training should be provided for all perioperative health personnel. This should lead to the requirement that recommended practices used for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols in the operating room be implemented in an effective manner. Further research regarding surgical smoke protection should be conducted in order to examine each procedure during an operation. The recommended practices for surgical smoke and bio-aerosols prevention need to be implemented in order to reduce health risks.

Recommendations

These findings confirm the importance of compliance with the recommended practices for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols. In addition, this study highlights the need for training in order to ensure the effective use of equipment and continuing education for OR personnel. Individuals in hospital organisational leadership positions should initiate programs aimed at building proficiency in the use of equipment such as portable smoke evacuation units, laparoscopic evacuation/filtration systems and wall suction with inline filters. This will minimise exposure to surgical smoke and reduce unnecessary health risks. Furthermore, each operating room needs to be monitored in order to achieve the highest standards of environmental cleanliness for health personnel and patients. Compliance with smoke evacuation devices is required in
order to retain a healthy environment for perioperative personnel.

Future studies could compare the particles of surgical smoke before and after surgical smoke evacuation or the factors related to adherence with the recommended practice for controlling surgical smoke and bio-aerosols among perioperative nurses in Thailand.
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