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Abstract
Archeological studies reveal that humans splinted fractures and operated on skulls. Other than dealing with wounds or fractures, early surgeons carried out three types of operative procedures – circumcision, trephination and lithotomy. The first two procedures are the most ancient, for it is hypothesised they were undertaken between 10,000 and 15,000 years ago. Circumcision was a religious, fertility or initiation rite or ritual and trephination was done for mystical as well as therapeutic purposes. In contrast, lithotomy commenced much later, between the 4th and 5th centuries BCE and therefore did not occur prehistorically. However, it is the first operation that was performed to relieve a specific surgical condition.

Introduction
An earlier paper published in JPN outlined the beginnings of perioperative nursing, which had its genesis in surgical nursing about 150 years ago. This exploration of nursing’s first specialisation prompted reflection on the history of surgery, a craft whose pedigree stretches back to the dawn of humankind. This paper does not intend to provide even the briefest outline of this history, for it is not possible in such a small compass. Instead, the focus is on the three earliest known ‘elective’ interventions that date back many thousands of years and which are still performed today.

The word ‘surgery’ is derived, via the Latin chirurgia, from the ancient Greek χειρουργία (kheirourgia) and means hand work. Our innate instinct for self-preservation no doubt drove us to seek help if we couldn’t help ourselves. As far back as, possibly, a quarter of a million years ago, our prehistoric ancestors were being treated for injuries and diseases by primitive ‘healers’, those among our forebears who had a particular aptitude to carry out such activities. Clearly, these treatments occurred long before the advent of the written record, that is, in prehistoric times. The term is mostly used for the period from 12,000 before the common era (BCE) to 3000 BCE – roughly speaking, the Neolithic age. Our understanding of events of that time is derived from archaeology and its associated study of tools, bones, buildings and cave drawings.

Archaeological excavations revealed ancient skeletons that had sustained fractures (caused by accidents, falls and animal or human attacks) and showed evidence of bone disease, even rotten teeth. It is hypothesised that injuries were variously treated and dressed, based on the early studies of primitive tribes from the beginning of the 20th century. Australian Aborigines encased broken arms in clay, which hardened in the sun, and covered cuts with animal fat then bound them up with bark or animal skin.

Elsewhere around the globe, primitive tribes used leaves and plants, cobwebs (which may well have some blood clotting properties), ashes and even cow dung on open wounds. More robust evidence of broken limbs being splinted and of wounds being dressed with lint date from about 2450 BCE and came from Egyptian excavations.
However, the management of these broken limbs or open wounds is not under scrutiny here, as noted earlier. Instead, it is the work of those early ‘surgeons’ who carried out three types of operative intervention – circumcision, trephination and lithotomy. Although circumcision is thought to be the most ancient of the three, there is some evidence that trephination was practised at least as early and possibly earlier. It begs the question, why were these procedures performed?

Circumcision
Anthropologists cannot agree on the origins of circumcision (removal of some of the foreskin, or prepuce, from the penis), nor how long it has been in existence, perhaps because the practice has occurred in such geographically disparate regions around the globe. It has been suggested that it is one of the features of a ‘heliolithic’ culture which, over 15,000 years ago, spread over much of the world.

Circumcision has been practised among primitive communities in Australia, South America, the South Pacific, equatorial Africa, Turkey, Egypt and the Middle East. It is known to have been practised by priests’ assistants on the priests and members of royal families in Egypt between 2400 and 3000 BCE. A bas relief from the sixth dynasty (4300 years ago) on the sarcophagus of Ankh-ma-Hor at Saqqara shows male circumcision being practised on two boys or young men as a ritual prior to entry into the priesthood (Figure 1). In it, a crude stone instrument is employed by the operators and the inscription has them saying, ‘hold him so that he may not faint’ and ‘it is for your benefit’.

In some African tribes it was performed at birth; in Judaic societies, male circumcision is linked to a covenant with God dating back to Abraham and is completed on the eighth day after birth. Among Moslem peoples of India and Southeast Asia, and other tribal cultures, it occurred in early adult life as a rite of passage. It has also been practised as a form of punishment inflicted upon those who were not circumcised, sometimes during battle; in Koranic times, the slashed prepuces of ‘unbelievers’, collected following a battle, were held up as trophies of victory.

Other reasons proffered for undertaking circumcision include:

- to maintain hygiene and cleanliness
- as a form of social control
- as a form of cultural identity
- as a sacrifice to the gods
- as a mark of defilement or slavery
- to dampen sexual desire and limit sexual intercourse

Techniques and practitioners of the ‘procedure’ were diverse. In biblical times, the mother performed the circumcision but over time it largely fell within the remit of religious men. In ancient Egypt the procedure was performed by the priest using his thumbnail (often gold impregnated) but in due course circumcision knives and other instruments were devised for the operation (Figure 2).
The ancient Greeks and Romans abhorred the practice of circumcision, believing it to be primitive, barbaric, arising from superstition and a means of oppression. One Hellenistic Greek, King Antiochus IV Epiphanes, outlawed circumcision and those mothers who had their infants ritually circumcised were flogged, crucified or stoned.

Circumcision continues to be performed today, in many echelons of society, in developing and developed countries and for religious, ritualistic or medical reasons. The purpose of the procedure determines when it is undertaken, vis-a-vis the age of the patient. It remains a controversial procedure and, for example, the Canadian Paediatric Society recommends that circumcision of newborns should not be routinely performed, as does the British Medical Association. In contrast, the American Academy of Pediatrics indicates that the health benefits of newborn male circumcision outweigh the risks and, further, the procedure’s benefits justify access to it. Specific benefits identified include prevention of urinary tract infections, penile cancer and transmission of some sexually transmitted infections, including HIV.

**Trephination**

As long ago as 10,000 BCE, possibly earlier, boring or cutting out rings or squares of bone from the skull was practised and, remarkably, many survived this procedure. The practice is known as trephination or trepanation and, although trephination refers to drilling whereas trepanation means scraping or cutting, the terms are used interchangeably. Studies related to prehistoric trephination followed the discovery, in a prehistoric stone tomb in central France in the late 19th century, of a skull with a large artificial opening (Figure 3). Since that time many thousands of such skulls have been found. It is also extraordinary that this complex procedure was undertaken in many different parts of the world, including the United Kingdom, Poland, Spain, Portugal, Scandinavia, the Caucasus, Palestine, the Western coastline of the Americas (especially Peru), North Africa and China. Whether the procedure was practised in ancient Egypt appears to be contested although it was performed in ancient Greece. Trephination was still being practiced in isolated and primitive communities until the early 20th century.

It is believed trephination was performed for the management of skull injuries and fractures; however, the procedure was also carried out for other reasons, including:

- intracranial disorders
- chronic headache
- brain tumours
- other painful disorders.

---

**Figure 2: Circumcision knife, Europe, 1775–1785**
(Source: Science Museum London. Reproduced under licence)

**Figure 3: A Neolithic (3500 BCE) skull showing evidence of trephination**
(Source: World History Encyclopedia (by Jmh649). Reproduced under licence).
It was also believed the procedure had a magical and/or religious purpose, that of expelling evil spirits because our forebears thought these were the cause of mental illness, insanity and epilepsy. In parts of New Guinea, it was performed on youths as an aid to longevity. Elsewhere the procedure was thought to confer magical powers on the patient and the pieces of skull retrieved were used as amulets, as they, too, were perceived to have magical properties.

However, it appears most cases were done for therapeutic reasons. It was performed much more frequently on men, probably because they sustained far greater numbers of head injuries during tribal warfare. In some prehistoric cultures (e.g. in Peru) it was undertaken on men only. Children were rarely the recipients of trephination. In some cases, trephination was performed peri-mortem or immediately post-mortem, possibly for cultural or ritualistic reasons, although it has also been hypothesised that post-mortem trepanation was a means of better understanding cranial anatomy and improving techniques.

Scraping, supposed to be the oldest trepanning technique, involved the use of an abrasive stone tool which was rubbed across the skull surface until a perforation was obtained. In terms of survival, it was also the most successful, probably because stone scrapers were more able to avoid accidental penetration of the dura mater. The areas of the skull most often operated upon were the parietal bone followed by the frontal, and the left side of the skull was involved more often than the right.

In some cases, the skull had been poly-trephined resulting in two or more holes.

How this operation was performed without the benefit of anaesthesia, haemostasis or antiseptics, as we know them today, is astonishing. However, management of bleeding from spongy bone would have been necessary and the use of plants or, in the case of ancient Greeks, cautery was used for this purpose. The Incas of ancient Peru were expert naturalists and used extracts from coca plants and alcohol as anaesthetics, various roots and shrubs that are rich in tannic acid as haemostatics and certain mineral salts and chemicals for their antiseptic properties.

Identifying how these primitive surgical forebears acquired the necessary skills to undertake trephination is speculative. There is some evidence that Neolithic practitioners in Europe learnt their skills by practising on domestic animals. In medieval Europe, it was not until the renaissance, and its associated burgeoning and dissemination of knowledge, that more sophisticated trephining became evident (Figure 4).
Lithotomy

Circumcision and trephination were performed for various reasons – in the case of circumcision, these were religious, cultural or ritualistic. Similarly, although trephination was undertaken for therapeutic reasons, it was also performed for mystical purposes. In contrast, ‘cutting for the stone’ was undertaken for one reason only, thus it can be deemed to be the most ancient procedure for a single, specific, surgical condition.

The most ancient bladder stone found to date was in the grave of a 16-year-old boy, in a prehistoric cemetery at El Amrah in Upper Egypt. It has been dated at 4800 BCE. The earliest writings about stone disease, describing symptoms and prescribing treatments to dissolve the stone, are found in the medical texts of Asutu in Mesopotamia between 3200 and 1200 BCE.

It is in Hindu and Greek writings of the 4th and 5th centuries BCE that the first descriptions of lithotomy are found. Sushruta was a surgeon who lived in ancient India and was the author of a book in which he describes over 300 surgical procedures, including perineal lithotomy. He described this operation in meticulous detail, exhorting surgeons to take special care to ensure they did not break the stone so that no pieces were left behind to grow large again.

Hippocrates (460–377 BCE) described diseases of the kidney and defined symptoms of bladder stones. In his oath of medical ethics for physicians, Hippocrates outlined that they were not to cut for the stone, but to leave it for practitioners of this work. At that time, lithotomy was practiced via a perineal incision and was done by special lithotomists. The Roman encyclopaedist Celus (25 BCE – 50 Common Era (CE)) described the procedure of perineal lithotomy, and this approach persisted for the next 1500 years (Figure 5). It required that the patient be restrained, usually by a parent as Celus believed the operation should only be performed on children between the ages of nine and 14. The operator inserted two fingers of the left hand (dipped in oil) into the anus. The right hand was used to push down on the lower abdomen, pushing the bladder and thus forcing the stone into the grip of the left index finger within the rectum. This caused the stone to bulge in the perineum. An incision was then made in front of the anus into the base of the bladder and the stone was pushed out by the finger in the rectum. If necessary, a hook was used to dislodge it. The wound was then dressed with wool and warm oil.

Because the operation involved no special instruments, merely a knife and possibly a hook, it was known

Figure 5: Surgery operating for bladder stones
(Source: Wellcome Collection. Reproduced under licence.)
as the ‘operation minor’ or the ‘petit appareil’25. It was carried out without the benefit of anaesthesia and often in public by itinerant, often uneducated, lithotomists who travelled from town to town seeking business24.

In 1503 a new technique was introduced and, although similar to the ‘operation minor’, overcame the problem of identifying the bladder neck by the passage of a guide into the bladder along the urethra25. Subsequently, a vertical incision was made in the mid-line onto a groove in the guide to open the urethra, which was then progressively dilated3. This process tore through the prostate gland and bladder neck. Stone holding forceps were then passed into the wound to remove the stone or, if it was too big, forceps were used to first crush the stone and the fragments then removed with a scoop or hook. This was known as the ‘apparatus major’ or ‘grand appareil’ because a large array of instruments was used2,24,25 (Figure 6). It gradually replaced the lesser procedure and was practiced widely throughout Europe for the next 300 years, despite the complications – haemorrhage, sepsis, incontinence and impotence – all of which were common occurrences3. A final refinement to the perineal approach was the lateral lithotomy, which was still being performed up until the 20th century3 although by then with the benefit of anaesthesia.

The first successful removal of calculi via a suprapubic approach was described by Pierre Franco in 156124. However, he advised others not to follow his example and many surgeons took his advice believing that there would be dire consequences. Nonetheless, it began to be carried out successfully first in France and then in England in the 18th century. When the surgeon John Douglas realised that the bladder could be opened extra-peritoneally above the pubis when distended with fluid he published a book about it in 172025. In it, he listed the advantages:

- it was easier for the patient
- it could be accomplished rapidly
- a cure was more certain

The approach prevented urinary incontinence, impotence or the formation of fistulae3.

Despite these improvements, the procedure had a high mortality rate, was performed infrequently and only in cases of large stones. It was not until the end of the 19th century and the many advances occurring in ‘modern’ surgery – asepsis and
anaesthesia – that the procedure became safe and routine.

Currently, various new technologies have been developed in the effort to make bladder stone treatment less invasive\(^3\). Stone fragmentation (lithotripsy) can be achieved by using several surgical approaches and devices\(^26\). However, bladder stones are now rare\(^26\) – mainly seen in developing countries – and eventually they may disappear completely.

In summary, an exploration of the earliest operations humans performed shows they stretch back through millennia. Circumcision is possibly the oldest procedure, and the one performed most often and mostly consistently throughout history. It seems to have been undertaken for a plethora of reasons – cultural, religious and medical – and remains a controversial procedure still practiced extensively today. Trephination is the most intriguing procedure performed by our ancestors, given the nature and complexity of such an undertaking, even in the 18th and 19th centuries. It was undertaken for mostly therapeutic purposes; however, in some instances there were mystical reasons associated with it. It, too, continues to be practised today, albeit in such a vastly different way as to be unrecognisable in comparison with its earlier origins. Lithotomy or ‘cutting for the stone’ was the only one of these procedures that was performed for a sole purpose, and almost always as a last resort. It is also the only procedure that is currently in decline and may even cease to be performed at some point in the future.
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